

"TECHNIQUES FOR THE EVALUATION OF ROCK MASS STRUCTURE AND STRENGTH IN BLAST DESIGN"

R.R. MacLachlan M.J. Scoble
Department of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering
McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 2A7

1. SYNOPSIS

Blast design is a complex task, and blast design is generally dependent on the geological structure and strength of the rock mass.

The primary objective of blast design is to achieve the maximum fragmentation of the rock mass while minimizing the risk of flyrock and ground vibration. This is achieved by controlling the blast design parameters such as the blast pattern, the blast sequence, and the blast timing. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength.

The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength.

A good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength is essential for the blast design process. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength. The blast design process is a complex task, and it is essential to have a good understanding of the rock mass structure and strength.

This is a typical example of a blast which when
 occurred, the design of the structure was
 not adequate. The design of the structure was
 based on the assumption that the blast would
 be of a certain magnitude. The actual blast
 was of a much greater magnitude than the
 design assumed. The effect of the blast was
 to destroy the structure. The design of the
 structure was based on the assumption that the
 blast would be of a certain magnitude.

The design of the structure was based on the
 assumption that the blast would be of a certain
 magnitude. The actual blast was of a much
 greater magnitude than the design assumed.
 The effect of the blast was to destroy the
 structure. The design of the structure was
 based on the assumption that the blast would
 be of a certain magnitude.

The design of the structure was based on the
 assumption that the blast would be of a certain
 magnitude. The actual blast was of a much
 greater magnitude than the design assumed.

A typical example of a blast which when
 occurred, the design of the structure was
 not adequate. The design of the structure was
 based on the assumption that the blast would
 be of a certain magnitude. The actual blast
 was of a much greater magnitude than the
 design assumed. The effect of the blast was
 to destroy the structure. The design of the
 structure was based on the assumption that the
 blast would be of a certain magnitude.

A typical example of a blast which when
 occurred, the design of the structure was
 not adequate. The design of the structure was
 based on the assumption that the blast would
 be of a certain magnitude. The actual blast
 was of a much greater magnitude than the
 design assumed. The effect of the blast was
 to destroy the structure.